

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/736,602	12/17/2003	Michael A. Kneissl	115917	5826	
27074 7	590 12/13/2005		EXAMINER		
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC. P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			GOLUB, MARCIA A		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALLMANDICA	n, vn 22320		2828		
	•		DATE MAILED: 12/12/200	DATE MAIL ED. 12/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AK	

		AK					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/736,602	KNEISSL ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Marcia A. Golub	2828					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DY - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N	ovember 2005.						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application.	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or of	election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
	ranimer. Note the attached Office	Action of form P 10-132.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority document		A1.					
2. Certified copies of the priority document							
 Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bureau 		ed in this National Stage					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ed.					
2002 225,702 20122 000 201.01							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate					

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _

Application/Control Number: 10/736,602

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-35 are pending in the application.

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: Claim 32 refers to an "annulus" which was not disclosed in the specification.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

1st embodiment (Fig. 2,3,6,11,12) claims 1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 18, 19, 20, 25, 26,28,29,30,

2nd embodiment (Fig. 4, 7, 8, 9) claims 15, 16, 17, 25, 27, 31, 32,

3rd embodiment (Fig. 10) claim 9,

4th embodiment (Fig. 13, 14, 15, 16, 17) claims 12, 13, 14,

5th embodiment (Fig. 18, 19, 20, 21) claim 10,

6th embodiment (Fig. 22) claim 11,

7th embodiment (Fig. 23),

8th embodiment (Fig. 24, 25) claims 21, 22, 23, 24,

9th embodiment (Fig. 26) claims 33, 34, 35,

10th embodiment (Fig. 27) claim 6,

Application/Control Number: 10/736,602

Art Unit: 2828

11th embodiment (Fig. 28) claim 4,

12th embodiment (Fig. 29) claim 5,

13th embodiment (Fig. 30).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/736,602

Art Unit: 2828

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Fax/Telephone Info

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marcia A. Golub whose telephone number is 571-272-8602. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minsun Harvey can be reached on 571-272-1835. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2828

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MAG

MÍNSUN OH HARVEY PRIMARY EXAMINER